




## INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification <sup>6</sup> : <b>C10L 1/08, 1/18, 10/04</b>	<b>A1</b>	(11) International Publication Number: <b>WO 98/34998</b> (43) International Publication Date: 13 August 1998 (13.08.98)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/US98/01670 (22) International Filing Date: 27 January 1998 (27.01.98) (30) Priority Data: 08/798,384                7 February 1997 (07.02.97)        US (71) Applicant: EXXON RESEARCH AND ENGINEERING COMPANY [US/US]; P.O. Box 390, 180 Park Avenue, Florham Park, NJ 07932-0390 (US). (72) Inventors: COOK, Bruce, Randall; 2 Capoolong Creek Road, Pittstown, NJ 08867 (US). BERLOWITZ, Paul, Joseph; 939 Jamestown Road, East Windsor, NJ 08520 (US). WITTENBRINK, Robert, Jay; 836 Shadyglen Drive, Baton Rouge, LA 70816 (US). (74) Agents: SIMON, Jay et al.; Exxon Research and Engineering Company, P.O. Box 390, Florham Park, NJ 07932-0390 (US).		(81) Designated States: BR, CA, JP, NO, SG, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).  Published <i>With international search report.</i>

(54) Title: DIESEL ADDITIVE FOR IMPROVING CETANE, LUBRICITY, AND STABILITY



## (57) Abstract

A process for producing additive compositions, especially via a Fischer-Tropsch reaction, useful for improving the cetane number or lubricity, or both the cetane number and lubricity, of a mid-distillate, diesel fuel. In producing the additive, the product of a Fischer-Tropsch reaction is separated into a high boiling fraction and a low boiling, e.g., a 700 °F-fraction. The high boiling fraction is hydroisomerized at conditions sufficient to convert it to a 700 °F-low boiling fraction, the latter being blended with the 700 °F-fraction and the diesel additive is recovered therefrom.

**FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY**

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CM	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CN	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CU	Cuba	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
CZ	Czech Republic	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DE	Germany	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
DK	Denmark	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		
EE	Estonia						

## DIESEL ADDITIVE FOR IMPROVING CETANE, LUBRICITY, AND STABILITY

### FIELD OF THE INVENTION

This invention relates to an additive for diesel fuels. More particularly, this invention relates to an additive that can provide cetane improvement, lubricity improvement and stability of diesel fuels regardless of their hydrocarbon source, i.e., natural or synthetic crudes.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

The continuing pressure from regulatory agencies around the world for reducing emissions, e.g., particulates, from diesel engines has lead to increased demand for high cetane diesel fuels. This demand has been met, but only in part, by blending refinery streams, e.g., raw or hydrotreated cat cracker, coker distillate, and virgin distillates that contain few, if any, paraffins with distressed streams of low native cetane. Also, cetane of refinery streams can be improved with severe hydrotreating which is expensive and limits cetane to the mid-fifties. Alternatively, commercial cetane additives, e.g., alkyl nitrates and peroxides, are available but expensive, often toxic, and therefore, limited as to the amount that can be used. Consequently, there is a need for an environmentally benign material that can significantly increase cetane, for example increasing cetane number leads to decreasing emissions of pollutants. Further, in severely hydrotreated materials lubricity is often inadequate and lubricity additives are required, too.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with this invention a diesel fuel additive that contributes cetane, lubricity, and stability to diesel fuel blends can be prepared from the Fischer-Tropsch hydrocarbon synthesis process, preferably a non-shifting process.

The diesel additive which can be blended with diesel fuel streams in amounts of at least about 1wt% can be described as

- boiling range 540-680°F;
- $\geq 90$  wt%  $C_{16}$ - $C_{20}$  paraffins, of which greater than 50 wt% are isoparaffins having substantial, i.e.,  $\geq 25$  wt%, mono-methyl paraffins;
- cetane number of  $\geq 87$ ;
- $\geq 2500$  ppm as oxygen of  $C_{14}$ - $C_{16}$  linear, primary alcohols.

Additionally, such materials contain few unsaturates, e.g.,  $\leq 1$  wt% ppm total unsaturates (olefins + aromatics), preferably less than about 0.5 wt%; and nil sulfur and nitrogen, e.g.,  $\leq 50$  ppm by wt S or N. These materials are readily produced via a non-shifting Fischer-Tropsch (F/T) catalytic process followed by hydroisomerizing at least a portion of the heavier portion of the F/T product and blending it back with at least a portion of a lighter non-isomerized fraction and recovering the desired material.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWING

Figure 1 is a schematic representation of a process for producing the desired diesel fuel additive.

The diesel material of this invention, preferably produced in accordance with the process described herein, is best employed as a blending agent with other diesel fuels in need of upgrading, that is, upgrading or increasing cetane number, increasing lubricity, increasing stability, or any combination of the foregoing. The amount of additive employed will be that amount sufficient to improve the cetane or lubricity or both of the blend to meet desired specifications.

More preferably, diesel materials having a cetane number in the range 30-55, preferably less than about 50, preferably less than about 40 or diesel materials having lubricity measurements of less than 2500 grams in the scuffing BOCLE test or greater than 450 microns wear scar in the High Frequency Reciprocating Rig (HFRR) test, or both low cetane and poor lubricity are excellent candidates for upgrading with the diesel fuel additive of this invention.

There is essentially no upper limit on the amount of additive that can be used other than economic limits. In general, the diesel additive of this invention is used as a blend with diesel materials that are or can be used as diesel fuels in amounts of at least about 1 wt%, preferably in amounts of about 1-50%, more preferably in amounts of about 2 to 30%, and still more preferably in amounts of about 5-20%. (For rough estimation purposes about 1% additive will increase cetane number by about 0.5; and about 2-10% additive will improve lubricity by about 20% in the scuffing BOCLE test.)

Examples of distressed diesel materials requiring upgrading are raw and hydrotreated cat cracker and coker distillates. These materials are usually low in cetane number, being less than about 50, sometimes less than about 40. Additionally, hydrotreated distillates in the diesel boiling range,

particularly where sulfur and nitrogen are less than 50 wppm and oxygenates are nil, can have their lubricity increased by virtue of blending with the diesel additive of this invention.

The BOCLE test is described in Lacy, P.I. "The U.S. Army Scuffing Load Wear Test", Jan. 1, 1994 which is based in ASTM D5001.

The HFRR test is described in "Determination of Lubricity of Diesel Fuel by High Frequency Reciprocating Rig (HFRR) Test". ISO Provisional Standard, TC22/SC7N595, 1995 and in "Pending ASTM Method: Standard Test Method for Evaluating Lubricity of Diesel Fuels by the High-Frequency Reciprocating Rig (HFRR)" 1996.

This invention, as described in the embodiment shown in Figure 1 is based, in part, on the discovery that a fractionated, hydroisomerized product obtained from a non-shifting Fischer-Tropsch process does not behave in a usual fashion. That is, usually, as molecular weight increases, cetane number also increases. However, as the boiling point of a particular fraction increases after hydroisomerizing, the iso-to normal ratio also increases and as the iso/ normal ratio increases, the cetane number decreases. Consequently, with increasing molecular weight and increasing iso/ normal ratio, a maximum cetane number occurs for a particular fraction. Also, at this maximum cetane, the cloud point, which also increases with increasing molecular weight, is acceptable and that fraction contains virtually nil unsaturates (for stability) and linear, primary alcohols which impart lubricity.

In the practice of this invention, the paraffinic stream from the F/T reactor is split, or divided, into (i) a high boiling liquid fraction and (ii) a low boiling liquid fraction, the split being made nominally at temperature ranging

between about 675°F and about 725°F, preferably at about 700°F to produce a nominally 700°F+ liquid fraction and a 700°F- liquid fraction. The high boiling or preferred 700°F+ fraction (i) is mildly hydroisomerized and hydrocracked to produce a 700°F- boiling product which is then combined with the native low boiling, or 700°F- boiling liquid fraction (ii), and this mixture is then separated, i.e., suitably fractionated, to produce very stable, environmentally benign, non-toxic, mid-distillate, diesel fuel additive.

Referring to the Figure there is shown a schematic for producing the desired fraction that is useful as a diesel fuel improver. Hydrogen and carbon monoxide is fed in line 1 into Fischer-Tropsch reactor 10 at reaction conditions. From the reactor 10 a product is recovered and may, for example, be recovered as a lighter stream or a heavier stream. The split may be at nominally 250°F, preferably 500°F, more preferably 700°F. Consequently, in the most preferred embodiment the lighter stream may be a 700°F- while the heavier stream is a 700°F+, lines 3 and 2, respectively. The heavier stream is then hydroisomerized in reactor 20 from which a 700°F- stream is recovered in line 4 and combined with the lighter product of line 3. The combined stream is fractionated in fractionator 30 from which the desired diesel blending fraction is recovered in line 8. Additional 700°F+ material from line 6 can be recovered, and if desired, recycled to reactor 20 for the production of additional 700°F- material.

Non-shift F/T reaction conditions are well known to those skilled in the art and can be characterized by conditions that minimize the formation of carbon dioxide byproducts. Non-shift F/T conditions can be achieved by a variety of methods, including one or more of the following: operating at relatively low carbon monoxide partial pressures, that is, operating at hydrogen carbon monoxide ratios of at least about 1.7:1, preferably about 1.7:1 to about

2.5:1, more preferably at least about 1.9:1, and in the range 1.9:1 to about 2.3:1 with an alpha of at least about 0.88, preferably at least about 0.91; temperatures of about 175-400°C, preferably about 180-300°C; using catalysts comprising cobalt or ruthenium as the primary F/T catalysts, preferably supported cobalt or supported ruthenium, most preferably supported cobalt where the support may be silica, alumina, silica-alumina or Group IVB metal oxides, e.g., titania. Promoters may also be employed, e.g., rhenium, titanium, zirconium, hafnium.

Whereas various catalysts can be used to convert syngas to F/T liquids, supported cobalt and ruthenium catalysts are preferred in that they tend to produce primarily paraffinic products; especially cobalt catalysts which tend toward making a heavier product slate, i.e., a product containing C<sub>20</sub><sup>+</sup>. The product withdrawn from the F/T reactor is characterized as a waxy Fischer-Tropsch product, a product which contains C<sub>5</sub><sup>+</sup> materials, preferably C<sub>20</sub><sup>+</sup> materials, a substantial portion of which are normal paraffins. A typical product slate is shown in Table A and can vary by about ±10% for each fraction.

TABLE A

Typical product slate from F/T process liquids:

	<u>Wt.%</u>
IBP-320°F	13
320-500°F	23
500-700°F	19
700-1050°F	34
1050°F+	<u>11</u>
	100



Table B below lists some typical and preferred conditions for conducting the hydroisomerization reaction.

TABLE B

<u>CONDITION</u>	<u>TYPICAL RANGE</u>	<u>PREFERRED RANGE</u>
Temperature, °F	300-800	600-750
Pressure, psig	0-2500	500-1200
Hydrogen treat rate, SCF/B	500-5000	2000-4000
Hydrogen consumption rate, SCF/B	50-500	100-300

While virtually any bifunctional catalyst may be satisfactorily used for conducting the hydroisomerization reaction, some catalysts perform better than others and are preferred. For example, catalysts containing a supported Group VIII non-noble metal, e.g., platinum or palladium, are useful as are catalysts containing one or more Group VIII metals, e.g., nickel, cobalt, which may or may not also include a Group VI metal, e.g., molybdenum. Group IB metals can also be used. The support for the metals can be any acidic oxide or zeolite or mixtures thereof. Preferred supports include silica, alumina, titania, zirconia, vanadia and other Group III, IV, VA or VI oxides, as well as Y sieves, such as ultrastable Y sieves. Preferred supports include alumina and silica-alumina. More preferred catalysts and supports are those described in U.S. Pat. No. 5,187,138 incorporated herein by reference. Briefly, the catalysts described therein contain one or more Group VIII metals on alumina or silica-alumina supports where the surface of the support is modified by addition of a silica

precursor, e.g.,  $\text{Si}(\text{OC}_2\text{H}_5)_4$ . Silica addition is at least 0.5 wt.% preferably at least 2 wt.%, more preferably about 2-25%.

In hydroisomerization reactions increasing conversion tends to increase cracking with resultant higher yields of gases and lower yields of distillate fuels. Consequently, conversion is usually maintained at about 35-80% of 700°F+ feed hydrocarbons converted to 700°F- hydrocarbons.

In one aspect, the 700°F- paraffinic mixture obtained from the F/T reactor is fractionated to produce an environmentally friendly, benign, non-toxic additive boiling within the range of from about 540°F to about 680°F, preferably from about 570°F to about 650°F, which when combined with mid-distillate, diesel fuels will produce products of outstanding lubricity. These additives will contain generally more than 90 wt%, preferably more than 95 wt%, and more preferably more than 98 wt%,  $\text{C}_{16}$  to  $\text{C}_{20}$  paraffins, based on the total weight of the additive, of which greater than 50 wt%, based on the total weight of the paraffins in the mixture, are isoparaffins; and the isoparaffins of the mixture are further defined as greater than 25 percent, preferably greater than 40 percent, and more preferably greater than 50 percent, by weight, mono-methyl paraffins. The additive composition is also rich in  $\text{C}_{14}$ - $\text{C}_{16}$  linear primary alcohols species which impart higher lubricity, when combined with a mid-distillate, diesel fuel. In general the linear primary alcohols constitute at least about 0.05 percent, preferably at least about 0.25 percent, and generally from about 0.25 percent to about 2 percent, or more, of the additive mixture, based on the total weight of the additive.

Example 1

a) A mixture of hydrogen and carbon monoxide synthesis gas ( $H_2:CO$  2.11-2.16) was converted to heavy paraffins in a slurry Fischer-Tropsch reactor. A titania supported cobalt/rhenium catalyst was utilized for the Fischer-Tropsch reaction. The reaction was conducted at 422-428°F, 287-289 psig, and the feed was introduced at linear velocity of 12 to 17.5 cm/sec. The alpha of the Fischer-Tropsch synthesis step was 0.92. The paraffinic Fischer-Tropsch product was isolated in three nominally different boiling streams, separated by utilizing a rough flash. The three boiling fractions obtained were: 1) a native low boiling  $C_5$ -500°F fraction, i.e., F/T cold separator liquids; 2) a 500-700°F boiling fraction, i.e., F/T hot separator liquids, and 3) a 700°F+ boiling fraction, i.e., or F/T reactor wax.

b) The 700°F+ boiling fraction, or F/T reactor wax, having a boiling point distribution as follows: IBP-500°F, 1.0%, 500°F-700°F, 28.1%, and 700°F+, 70.9%, was then hydroisomerized and hydrocracked over a dual functional catalyst consisting of cobalt ( $CoO$ , 3.2 wt.%) and molybdenum ( $MoO_3$ , 15.2 wt.%) on a silica-alumina cogel acidic support, 15.5 wt.% of which is  $SiO_2$  to obtain a 700°F- product. The catalyst had a surface area of 266 m<sup>2</sup>/g and pore volume ( $PV_{H_2O}$ ) of 0.64 ml/g. The conditions for the reaction are listed in Table 1A and were sufficient to provide approximately 50% 700°F+ conversion where 700°F+ conversion is defined as

$$700^\circ F+ \text{ Conv.} = [1 - (\text{wt.}\% \text{ } 700^\circ F+ \text{ in product}) / (\text{wt.}\% \text{ } 700^\circ F+ \text{ in feed})] \times 100$$

TABLE 1A

Operating Conditions

Temp., °F	690
LHSV, v/v/h	0.6 - 0.7
H <sub>2</sub> Pressure, psig (pure)	725
H <sub>2</sub> Treat rate, SCF/B	2500

c) To simulate the total of the 700°F- liquids derived in steps (a) and (b), above, seventy-eight wt.% hydroisomerized F/T reactor wax boiling at 700°F-, 12 wt.% F/T cold separator liquids, and 10 wt.% F/T hot separator liquids from a large scale pilot unit were combined and mixed. A final diesel fuel, i.e., a 250-700°F boiling fraction was isolated by distillation from this blend. The hydroisomerized F/T reactor wax was prepared in flow through, fixed bed unit using a cobalt and molybdenum promoted amorphous silica-alumina catalyst, as described in U.S. Patent 5,292,989 and U.S. Patent 5,378,348.

d) The diesel fuel of step (c), above, was fractionated using a 15/5 distillation column into 9 cuts of increasing boiling range. These cuts, the mid-boiling points and engine cetane number of each fraction are listed in Table 1B. A composite 33%-55% volume fraction was also made and is shown in this table.

TABLE 1B

Cut#	Volume Fraction	Initial B.P. (°F)	50% B.P. (°F)	Final B.P. (°F)	Engine Cetane Number
1	0-10%	206	317	383	60.7
2	10-20%	294	398	469	70.5
3	20-30%	354	461	536	77.4
4	30-40%	419	515	560	83.2
5	40-50%	461	551	590	84.3
6	50-60%	494	578	612	84.1
7	60-70%	544	610	645	88.5
8	70-80%	571	641	676	87.9
9	80-100%	605	691	737	81.6
	33-55%	500		570	84
	60-80%	570		670	88

All of the fractions, as clearly evident, exhibit high engine cetane numbers, with fractions 7 and 8 having the highest cetane. The cetane number of a composite of the 33-55% volume fraction has a cetane number of 84. Cetane number is clearly not simply a function of boiling point, as the highest boiling fraction 9 has a significantly lower cetane number than 7 and 8. The 33-55% composite fraction, and 60-80% composite fractions were in fact found to

contain distinctive molecular compositions that lead to these improved properties.

In Table 1C is given a projected combination of Fractions 7 + 8 (60%- 80%), from the analysis of the individual fractions by GC and GC/MS. The linear primary alcohol content leads to improved lubricity; lubricity increasing as the alcohol content of the fraction is increased.

TABLE 1C

Wt.% Paraffin Carbon	
C <sub>15</sub>	0.2
C <sub>16</sub>	3.2
C <sub>17</sub>	22.4
C <sub>18</sub>	37.5
C <sub>19</sub>	28.4
C <sub>20</sub>	8.0
C <sub>21</sub>	0.2
Iso/Normal	1.34
wppm linear primary alcohols:	
C <sub>14</sub>	267
C <sub>15</sub>	1740
C <sub>16</sub>	1024

In Table 1D is given a projected combination of cuts 4, 5 and 6 which encompasses the 33-55% volume fraction. Analysis of the individual fractions by GC and GC/MS show that the fractions contain relatively high concentrations of linear primary alcohols. The linear primary alcohol content leads to improved lubricity; lubricity increasing as the alcohol content of the fraction is increased.

TABLE 1D

Wt.% Paraffin Carbon	
C <sub>14</sub>	2.8
C <sub>16</sub>	54.8
C <sub>17</sub>	42.3
Iso/Normal	1.21

wppm linear primary alcohols:

C <sub>12</sub>	379
C <sub>13</sub>	4404
C <sub>14</sub>	1279

The following Table 1E is a further tabulation of tests performed on the 9 cuts, and a composite of the 9 cuts, showing the lubricity in terms of the BOCLE test, the Peroxide No., and the cloud and pour points.

TABLE 1E

Cut	Lubricity <sup>1</sup>	Peroxide No. <sup>2</sup>	Cloud <sup>3</sup>	Pour <sup>4</sup>
1	33	76.0 (Fail)	<-49	<-49
2	35	6.7 (Fail)	<-45	<-45
3	55	2.0 (Fail)	<-27	<-28
4	73	0.6 (Pass)	<-15	<-15
5	75	0.9 (Pass)	-4	-3
6	93	0.7 (Pass)	2	3
7	102	0.3 (Pass)	6	6
8	117	0.0 (Pass)	8	9
9	129	0.4 (Pass)	13	12
Sum Cuts 1-9 <sup>5</sup>	75	7.5 (Pass)	-8	-8
33-55% Volume Fraction <sup>6</sup>	>75	<1 (Pass)	<-5	<-5

## Notes:

1 Lubricity results in the BOCLE test as described in Lacy, P.I. "The U.S. Army Scuffing Load Wear Test", Jan. 1, 1994 which is based in ASTM D5001. Results are represented as a % of the high reference fuel, Cat 1-K specified in the procedure.

2 Peroxide number according to ASTM D3703. 100 mls of fuel were filtered, then aerated for 3 minutes with air, and then placed in a brown 4 oz. bottle in a 65C oven for 4 weeks. Peroxide number was measured at the start of



- 15 -

the test, and after 7, 14, 21 and 28 days. At the end of the test those fuels with peroxide number <1 were considered to have good stability and passed the test.

- 3 Cloud point as described by ASTM D2500.
- 4 Pour point as described by ASTM D97.
- 5 Entire product of cuts 1 through 9 before fractionation.
- 6 Estimation from result from cuts 4-6, as a neat fuel.

These data thus show materials which can provide significant benefits to cetane number and lubricity without incurring debits due to oxidative instability or excessively high cloud/pour points. Blending this additive into a base 35 cetane stream at 5-10% produces cetane number improvements of 2.5 to 5 numbers with improved lubricity and essentially no effect on cold flow properties.

## CLAIMS:

1. A diesel fuel additive comprising
  - (i)  $\geq 90$  wt%  $C_{16}$ - $C_{20}$  paraffins, of which  $\geq 50\%$  are isoparaffins at least a portion of which are mono-methyl branched;
  - (ii) cetane number of  $\geq 87$ ;
  - (iii)  $\geq 2500$  ppm as oxygen of  $C_{14}$ - $C_{16}$  linear, primary alcohols;
  - (iv) a boiling range of  $540$ - $680^{\circ}\text{F}$ .
2. The additive of claim 1 wherein the paraffins are  $\geq 95$  wt%, and the mono-methyl branched isoparaffins are  $\geq 25$  wt%.
3. The additive of claim 2 wherein the  $C_{14}$ - $C_{16}$  alcohols are present in an amount of  $0.25$  to  $2$  wt%.
4. The additive of claim 2 wherein the sulfur and nitrogen concentrations are each  $\leq 50$  wppm and the unsaturates concentration  $\leq 1$  wt%.
5. The additive of claim 1 derived from a non-shifting Fischer-Tropsch process.
6. The additive of claim 1 combined with material in an amount of  $1$ - $50$  wt%.
7. The combination of claim 6 wherein the diesel material has a cetane of  $\leq 50$ .

8. The combination of claim 6 wherein the diesel material has a lubricity of less than 2500 grams in the scuffing BOCLE test.


9. The combination of claim 6 wherein the additive is present in an amount of about 2-30 wt%.

10. The combination of claim 6 wherein the diesel material is selected from the group consisting of raw and hydrotreated cat cracker and coker distillates having a cetane number  $\leq 40$  and hydrotreated distillates in the diesel boiling range having a lubricity of less than 2500 grams in the scuffing BOCLE test.

11. A process for preparing a diesel fuel additive described in claim 1 comprising

- (a) reacting hydrogen and carbon monoxide at reaction conditions in the presence of a non-shifting Fischer-Tropsch catalyst,
- (b) recovering at least a portion of the liquid product of the reaction and separating at least a portion of the liquid product into a heavier fraction and a lighter fraction,
- (c) hydroisomerizing at hydroisomerization conditions at least a portion of the heavier fraction and recovering a 700°F- product,
- (d) combining the lighter fraction of step (b) with the 700°F- product of step (c) and recovering a diesel fuel additive.

12. The process of claim 1 wherein the heavier fraction of step (b) is a 675°F+ material.

**FIG. 1**

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

national Application No  
PCT/US 98/01670

**A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER**  
IPC 6 C10L1/08 C10L1/18 C10L10/04

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

**B. FIELDS SEARCHED**

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)  
IPC 6 C10L C10G

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

**C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT**

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
P,X	WO 97 14769 A (EXXON) 24 April 1997 see claims 5,12	11
A	EP 0 321 301 A (EXXON) 21 June 1989 see examples 1-3	11,12
A	EP 0 587 246 A (SHELL) 16 March 1994 see column 7	11
A	FR 859 686 A (SYNTHETIC OILS LTD ET AL.) 24 December 1940 see the whole document	11
A	FR 732 964 A (DEUTSCHE HYDRIERWERKE AKT.) 28 September 1932 see the whole document	1
	-/--	

☒ Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

☒ Patent family members are listed in annex.

\* Special categories of cited documents :

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  
"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date  
"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  
"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  
"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention  
"X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone  
"Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.  
"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

13 May 1998

Date of mailing of the international search report

25/05/1998

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2  
NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk  
Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,  
Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

De La Morinerie, B

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No  
PCT/US 98/01670

## C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	WO 96 26994 A (EXXON) 6 September 1996 see the whole document ---	1
A	FR 2 650 289 A (LASCARAY, S.A.) 1 February 1991 see the whole document ---	1
A	US 5 324 335 A (BENHAM ET AL.) 28 June 1994 -----	

# INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No  
PCT/US 98/01670

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)		Publication date
WO 9714769	A	24-04-1997	AU	7395196 A	07-05-1997
EP 321301	A	21-06-1989	AU	2694488 A	22-06-1989
			DE	3873291 A	03-09-1992
			JP	1297148 A	30-11-1989
			US	4923841 A	08-05-1990
EP 587246	A	16-03-1994	AU	662437 B	31-08-1995
			AU	4617993 A	17-03-1994
			CA	2104913 A	09-03-1994
			DE	69312607 D	04-09-1997
			DE	69312607 T	15-01-1998
			ES	2105082 T	16-10-1997
			JP	6198180 A	19-07-1994
			NO	933184 A	09-03-1994
			ZA	9306543 A	28-03-1994
FR 859686	A	26-12-1940	NONE		
FR 732964	A	28-09-1932	NONE		
WO 9626994	A	06-09-1996	CA	2213656 A	06-09-1996
			EP	0812346 A	17-12-1997
FR 2650289	A	01-02-1991	NONE		
US 5324335	A	28-06-1994	WO	9520558 A	03-08-1995
			AU	6028894 A	15-08-1995
			US	5504118 A	02-04-1996
			US	5645613 A	08-07-1997
			US	5621155 A	15-04-1997
			US	5506272 A	09-04-1996
			US	5543437 A	06-08-1996
			US	5500449 A	19-03-1996
			US	5620670 A	15-04-1997